

P-completeness of testing solutions of parametric interval linear systems

Milan Hladík

Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Applied Mathematics, Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00, Prague, Czech Republic,
`hladik@kam.mff.cuni.cz`

Abstract. We deal with parametric interval linear equations and its particular sub-classes defined by symmetry of the constraint matrix. We show that the problem of checking whether a given vector is a solution is a P-complete problem, meaning that there unlikely exists a polynomial closed form arithmetic formula of Oettli–Prager-type describing the solution set. We leave as an open problem whether P-completeness concerns also the simplest version of the symmetric solution set.

Keywords: Interval computation, parametric system, linear system, P-complete problem.

1 Introduction

Let us introduce some notation first. An interval matrix is defined as

$$\mathbf{A} := \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}; \underline{A} \leq A \leq \overline{A}\},$$

where \underline{A} and \overline{A} , $\underline{A} \leq \overline{A}$, are given matrices and the inequality is understood entrywise. The midpoint and radius matrices are defined as

$$A^c := \frac{1}{2}(\underline{A} + \overline{A}), \quad A^\Delta := \frac{1}{2}(\overline{A} - \underline{A}).$$

The set of all interval $m \times n$ matrices is denoted by $\mathbb{IR}^{m \times n}$. Interval vectors are defined analogously.

In this paper, we deal with solutions of interval linear systems. Consider a parametric interval linear system

$$A(p)x = b(p),$$

in which parameters have a linear structure

$$A(p) = \sum_{k=1}^K A^{(k)} p_k, \quad b(p) = \sum_{k=1}^K b^{(k)} p_k.$$

Herein, $A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(K)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b^{(1)}, \dots, b^{(K)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are fixed, and the parameters p_1, \dots, p_K come from their respective interval domains $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_K \in \mathbb{IR}$.

The solution set of this parametric system is denoted by Σ and consists of all the solutions of all the linear systems corresponding to all possible combinations of parameters $p \in \mathbf{p}$, that is,

$$\Sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \exists p \in \mathbf{p} : A(p)x = b(p)\}.$$

As an important subclass, we will also consider a symmetric interval system, where the dependencies determine the constraint matrix to be symmetric. Given $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{IR}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{IR}^K$, the symmetric solution set reads

$$\Sigma_{sym} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \exists p \in \mathbf{p} \exists A \in \mathbf{A} : Ax = b(p), A = A^T\}. \quad (1)$$

If there are no dependencies in the right-hand side, corresponding symmetric solution set is

$$\Sigma_{sym}^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \exists A \in \mathbf{A} \exists b \in \mathbf{b} : Ax = b, A = A^T\}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{IR}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{IR}^n$.

Problem formulation. Given $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$, decide whether $x^* \in \Sigma$, or $x^* \in \Sigma_{sym}$, or $x^* \in \Sigma_{sym}^*$.

This problem can be solved by means of linear programming simply considering interval parameters as variables. The decision problems then reduce to checking feasibility of the linear systems

$$A(p)x^* = b(p), \quad p \in \mathbf{p}$$

with respect to variables p , and

$$Ax^* = b(p), \quad A = A^T, \quad A \in \mathbf{A}, \quad p \in \mathbf{p}$$

with respect to variables A and p .

The solution set of the standard interval linear system $Ax = b$, $A \in \mathbf{A}$, $b \in \mathbf{b}$ is defined as

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \exists A \in \mathbf{A} \exists b \in \mathbf{b} : Ax = b, \},$$

and characterized by the Oettli–Prager inequalities [9]

$$|A^c x - b^c| \leq A^\Delta |x| + b^\Delta.$$

Obviously, checking whether a given point $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies this system is in NC, which is the class of decision problems decidable in polylogarithmic time on a parallel computer with a polynomial number of processors. Thus, unless P=NC, it is not a P-hard problem.

Since 1990s, there were various attempts to find also a closed form arithmetic description of Σ_{sym}^* and the related solution sets [1–3]. The first approaches utilized the Fourier–Motzkin elimination (yielding possibly double exponential number of constraints). In [5], there was presented an explicit description consisting of an exponential number of constraints, and later improvements include

[6–8]. For a general linear parametric solution set Σ , an explicit description was presented in [10].

So far, no explicit polynomial Oettli–Prager-type characterization of the solutions of the parametric systems (or some particular subclasses such as the symmetric case) was found. The main message of this paper is to show that such a characterization unlikely exists because the problem is P-complete.

2 Results

Now, we state and prove our main results on P-completeness of testing solutions of parametric systems. We will utilize the fact that checking solvability of a linear system $Ax = b, x \geq 0$ is P-complete under NC-reduction (i.e., in polylogarithmic time on a parallel computer with a polynomial number of processors); see, e.g., Greenlaw et al. [4].

First, we state the result for a general parametric system, and then we strengthen it to the symmetric one.

Theorem 1. *Checking $x^* \in \Sigma$ is a P-complete problem.*

Theorem 2. *Checking $x^* \in \Sigma_{sym}$ is a P-complete problem.*

We did not succeed in our attempts to prove P-completeness of testing $x^* \in \Sigma_{sym}^*$, which remains as an open problem:

Open problem: Is the problem of checking $x^* \in \Sigma_{sym}^*$ P-complete?

Even though complexity of checking $x^* \in \Sigma_{sym}^*$ is unknown, the problem of finding the best “certificate” is P-complete. Herein, “the best” means that it maximizes the given linear function $\text{tr}(AF)$, where $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ stands for the matrix trace.

Theorem 3. *The following problem is P-complete: Given $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{IR}^n$, symmetric $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$, among symmetric matrices $A \in \mathbf{A}$ for which $Ax^* \in \mathbf{b}$, find the matrix for which $\text{tr}(AF)$ is the largest possible.*

Acknowledgments. The author was supported by the Czech Science Foundation Grant P403-18-04735S.

References

1. G. Alefeld, V. Kreinovich, and G. Mayer. On the shape of the symmetric, persymmetric, and skew-symmetric solution set. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 18(3):693–705, 1997.
2. G. Alefeld, V. Kreinovich, and G. Mayer. On the solution sets of particular classes of linear interval systems. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 152(1-2):1–15, 2003.
3. G. Alefeld and G. Mayer. On the symmetric and unsymmetric solution set of interval systems. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 16(4):1223–1240, 1995.

4. R. Greenlaw, H. J. Hoover, and W. L. Ruzzo. *Limits to Parallel Computation: P-Completeness Theory*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
5. M. Hladík. Description of symmetric and skew-symmetric solution set. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 30(2):509–521, 2008.
6. G. Mayer. An Oettli–Prager-like theorem for the symmetric solution set and for related solution sets. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 33(3):979–999, 2012.
7. G. Mayer. A survey on properties and algorithms for the symmetric solution set. Technical Report 12/2, Universität Rostock, Institut für Mathematik, 2012.
8. G. Mayer. Three short descriptions of the symmetric and of the skew-symmetric solution set. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 475:73–79, 2015.
9. W. Oettli and W. Prager. Compatibility of approximate solution of linear equations with given error bounds for coefficients and right-hand sides. *Numer. Math.*, 6:405–409, 1964.
10. E. D. Popova. Explicit description of *AE* solution sets for parametric linear systems. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 33(4):1172–1189, 2012.